

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PART OF PATENTS
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/056,212	01/24/2002	James Mulligan	1217.010USU/KPG 01132 6894	
75	590 02/18/2004		EXAM	INER
PAUL W. BUSSE			CHU, JOHN S Y	
FAEGRE & BENSON, LLP 2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
90 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET			1752	
MINNEAPOLIS,, MN 55401-3901			DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	U				
Advisory Action	10/056,212	MULLIGAN	0VO				
navicory notion	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John S. Chu	1752					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 22 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated a timely filed amendment whice	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a tion in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context o	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main and the corresponding are considered.	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriation of the final originally set in the final original originally set in the final original origin	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or				
<ul> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.</li> <li>2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:</li> </ul>							
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below)					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	· ·	300 NO 12 0010W),					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d)  they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	S.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
7.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo	)□ will be entered a ow or appended.	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-5,7,8,13-18,20-23,34 and 36-39</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .	<u>9</u> .						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:							
		John S. Chu Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1752					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 would require further consideration or search for the dependent claims not previous dependent on a claim having the now added acid generator and a colorant in the imageable composition. .